Cervical Pap smear Screening: Is it really useful in Indian scenario?
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Abstract:

Cervical cancer is the leading cancer in women in India and worldwide. It is unique in providing long window period amenable for early detection in precancerous state through suitable screening tools. Pap smear remain important screening modality. Proper selection of screening method in community setting is a matter of debate.
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Cervical cancer is the commonest cancer in women worldwide.1 This is responsible for huge healthcare burden in terms of morbidity and mortality in both developed and underdeveloped world countries. With the better treatment of communicable disease, India is heading towards a major epidemic related to noncommunicable disease including cancers. Cervical cancer remain leading cause of cancer in women in India, accounting for nearly 25.9 % of new cancer cases and 23.3% of all cancer-related deaths in the country.2 In 2008 in India, the annual incidence and mortality from cervical cancer was 134,420 cases and 72,825 deaths, respectively. In view of the huge population burden and limited healthcare resources, we have to look for the various ways of cost effective preventive and treatment modalities.

Cervical cancer screening is an important tool in prevention and early treatment because of window of opportunity during the long standing pathogenesis of the cervical cancer.3 Cervical cancer results from a persistent infection by a high-risk subset of human papillomavirus (HPV).4 Most women’s immune systems will eliminate HPV infection spontaneously, however, for a very small proportion of women, the infection will persist and can cause precancerous changes in cells. In the precancerous state i.e. Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) occurs along various grades from low (CIN1), moderate (CIN2) to severe (CIN3). The pathogenesis from low-grade CIN to cervical cancer takes from 10 to 20 years, during which timely screening for pre-cancerous lesions and early treatment is highly effective in preventing overt disease. Cervical cancer screening using various methods including Pap test (cytology), visual inspection with acetic acid (VIA), with Lugol’s iodine (VILI), and the HPV-DNA test has been shown to be effective screening methods. Traditionally pap smear has remained the corner stone of cervical cancer screening programs globally and in India up till now. The evidence of pap smear’s efficacy and utility is largely based on the results from the developed countries where this screening tool has resulted in definitive decrease in overall incidence and disease burden. But when it comes to the countries from developing world especially Indian contest, the overall efficacy becomes questionable because of variety of technical and social factors responsible for the same. Hence forth we need to judge the efficacy and practical utility of various alternative screening methods available to us and review our health policies targeted to screen and prevent the leading cancer cause in Indian woman.

Pap smear is a cytology based screening tool in which we need to have a dedicated staff which at least include a nurse or doctor to collect the sample, a cytotechnician to process and analyze the smear and a pathologist to confirm a positive finding on biopsy. If a smear turn out to be positive then the female is required to be traced, notified and then referred to further investigation and treatment. This whole process requires long time involving smear collection, processing and positive reporting, subsequent investigation and treatment. This requires multiple visits and complex process to follow for the successful outcome of the overall preventive program. These act as major hurdle in the whole program in developing country such as India. Moreover the cytology based screening program has got poor sensitivity in developing countries as compared to developed countries i.e 26-65% and 53% respectively in CIN 2 and CIN 3.5-7 This poor sensitivity has been improved by organized repeated testing in developed countries and this is the reason for success of the program. The methods such as visual inspection with acetic acid(VIA) involves inspection of the cervix with the naked eye, one to two minutes after the application of 3–5% acetic acid under adequate light and white areas in or near transformation zone (TZ) are considered positive. The sensitivity of these method is comparable or greater than the pap smear ie 41% to 79% for VIA.5,8-10 and 57% to 98% for VILI.11,12 The VIA is feasible in underdeveloped world setting and is also efficient. This can give instant result which may be amenable to treatment in the same visit. However this method has got drawback in the form of being unreliable in postmenopausal women due to inward recession of transformation zone. A more effective, reliable although more expensive is method HPV
DNA testing. This uses cervical or vaginal samples, obtained with a brush instead of a swab. The samples are collected either by a trained provider or, in the case of vaginal sampling, by the woman herself making it more acceptable. HPV-testing samples are processed with the use of the Hybrid Capture 2 assay for 13 high-risk HPV types. The sensitivity of HPV-DNA for detecting CIN 2-3 ranges from 66-95% with most studies reporting values greater than 85% among women 30 years or older.\textsuperscript{5,11,13} This method also overcomes the drawback associated with VIA in post menopausal women. The cost involved remains major obstacle in the deployment of this test in Indian context. As we move ahead for better health, cervical cancer screening is one of the basic right of every woman. We need to improve the quality of interventions that we have directed toward the early detection and treatment of cervical cancer. Apart from the technical intervention, many other factors such as awareness, education and attitude of the health care worker and the target population make great impact on the results of the screening interventions. As of now all the three methods seems good enough for different subset of population, considering their cost, efficacy, feasibility and availability. Pap smear has remained the oldest tool for screening of the cervical cancer, also it’s success has been documented over past many decades in developed world, we may try to overcome the drawback associated with VIA in post menopausal woman. The cost involved remains major obstacle in the deployment of this test in Indian contest. As we move ahead for better health, cervical cancer screening is one of the basic right of every woman. We need to improve the quality of interventions that we have directed toward the early detection and treatment of cervical cancer. Apart from the technical intervention, many other factors such as awareness, education and attitude of the health care worker and the target population make great impact on the results of the screening interventions. As of now all the three methods seems good enough for different subset of population, considering their cost, efficacy, feasibility and availability. Pap smear has remained the oldest tool for screening of the cervical cancer, also it’s success has been documented over past many decades in developed world, we may try to improve the coordinated team work and it’s availability to large target population so as to achieve the expected benefit in community setting. VIA and VILI seems to be promising in premenopausal woman and this may be implemented in phased manner to assess the applicability and overall success in community setting. Vaccination for common HPV strains responsible for the cancer can also be a reasonably good strategy for prevention of cervical cancer and can prove to be effective in spreading awareness for the disease. Strategies targeting at awareness and prevention will be beneficial not only for cervical cancer but also for overall health of the community. Gangwani et al\textsuperscript{14} studied pap smear screening in his study. He could have compare with other screening methods. Small sample size was other important limitation of his study.

References


How to cite this article?

Editorial