Comparative Study of Platelet count and C-Reactive Protein among Gram Positive, Gram Negative and Fungal Sepsis in Newborns
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Abstract

Introduction: Septicemia is characterized by positive blood culture, thrombocytopenia & elevated C-reactive protein. Objective: To compare platelet indices and CRP among gram-positive, gram negative and fungal sepsis in newborns.

Methods: This is a retrospective case analysis of 52 blood culture positive patients between January-2012 to December-2014. The parameters examined were Baseline Platelet Count, Change In Platelet Count, (Baseline Platelet count- Change in Platelet count)/ Baseline Platelet Count , Platelet nadir, incidence, duration & severity of Thrombocytopenia, baseline CRP, change in CRP, (baseline CRP- change in CRP)/ baseline CRP, CRP peak, incidence & duration of raised crp.

Statistical analysis: All data were collected in validated preformatted proforma sheet & analysed using appropriate statistical methods.

Results: Majority (61.53%) had gram negative sepsis. Klebsiella was the commonest organism & was isolated in 21.15% of babies. Weight of the baby (p= 0.014), CRP Peak (p= 0.034), incidence of high CRP (p = 0.003), duration of high CRP (p= 0.004) & duration of thrombocytopenia (p = 0.001) differed significantly among gram-positive, gram negative & fungal sepsis. Conclusion: We noted higher rise in CRP with prolonged duration following Gram negative sepsis. However the incidence of both raised CRP and thrombocytopenia were more among fungal sepsis. Though the onset was delayed, lower platelet nadir, more severe thrombocytopenia with prolonged duration was noted among fungal sepsis.
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Introduction

Septicemia is a common cause of high neonatal mortality. It is characterized by positive blood culture, thrombocytopenia & elevated C-reactive protein [1]. The term thrombocytopenia is referred to neonates with less than 150000 platelet count per micro liter which might be caused by less production or higher destruction of platelets or a combination of both mechanisms [2,3,4]. The thrombocytopenic patients are categorized according to their nadir: 1 to 1.5 lakh/mm³; 0.5 to 1 lakh/mm³ & less than 50,000/mm³ [5].Values in normal range (150000-400000/mm³) can be considered as no risk of sepsis [6].CRP is a biomarker and is elevated in sepsis [7-11]. Normal CRP concentration in healthy neonates is usually lower than 6 mg/L. Values more than this is considered as CRP Positive [12]. Blood culture is considered as the gold standard for the diagnosis of sepsis [13]. Based on the staining properties & cell wall morphology, Bacteria are divided into Gram positive & Gram negative. Whether the gram positive, gram negative bacteria or fungus have different effects on the depletion of platelet counts or the elevation of CRP is not clear [14]. We have conducted this study to compare platelet indices and CRP among gram-positive, gram negative and fungal sepsis in newborns.

Methodology

This is a retrospective case analysis of blood culture positive patients between January-2012 to December-2014 in a single centre. Blood samples of all the patients included in this study were obtained for CBC, CRP levels and blood cultures. Name, date of admission, age, platelet count, CRP levels, blood culture reports were recorded on a data form. We enrolled 52 eligible neonates whose blood culture yielded positively for any
organism. The data was analysed for differences in platelet count & CRP in terms of Gram positive, Gram negative & Fungal microorganisms causing sepsis. All neonates included in this study received appropriate antibiotics or antifungal medications.

**Unit protocol for Investigation of Neonatal Sepsis:**
Soon after admission two ml blood sample was taken in EDTA vacutainer & was processed for Platelet count. Blood was collected for blood culture in BD BACTEC bottles & cultured in Sabouraud’s Dextrose agar & Brain Heart Infusion Broth and colony growth was observed. Also 1 ml blood sample was taken for qualitative estimation of CRP. Direct counting of platelets in an improved Neubauer’s Chamber was done. Platelet count less than 1.5 Lakh / cumm was considered abnormal. CRP in serum was estimated by CRP TurbiLatex Kit using Latex turbimetry. Test showed positivity when CRP value was more than 6 mg/ L. Smears were made from peripheral blood and stained by Leishmans stain and examined to confirm thrombocytopenia. The platelet count & CRP used for this study was the one obtained at the same time as the positive blood culture or the one closest to the time the positive blood culture was drawn.

**Interventions:** Management of neonatal sepsis as per standard unit protocol. The study was approved by the Hospital Research and Ethics Committee.

**Definition of Parameters:** The parameters that were examined in this study were

- **Baseline Platelet Count:** Platelet count obtained at least 24 hours before the time that the positive blood culture was obtained.
- **Change In Platelet Count:** Platelet count at the time of onset of sepsis.
- **Baseline Platelet Count- Change In Platelet Count)/ Baseline Platelet Count:** Drop in platelet count at the time of onset of sepsis as compared with the baseline platelet count obtained at least 24 hours before the time that the positive blood culture was obtained.
- **Platelet Nadir:** Lowest platelet count obtained during a 20-day period starting from the time the initial positive blood culture was drawn.
- **Incidence of Thrombocytopenia:** Number of episodes with platelet nadir of less than 150000/mm$^3$ during a 20-day period starting from the time the initial positive blood culture was drawn.
- **Duration of Thrombocytopenia:** Number of continuous days that the platelet count remained less than 150000/mm$^3$. If the neonate had no Thrombocytopenia, the duration was considered to be zero.
- **Severity of Thrombocytopenia:**
  - No Thrombocytopenia - Platelet count more than 150000/mm$^3$
  - Mild Thrombocytopenia - Platelet count between 100000 to150000/mm$^3$
  - Moderate Thrombocytopenia - Platelet count between 50000 to 100000/mm$^3$
  - Severe Thrombocytopenia - Platelet count less than 50000/mm$^3$
- **Baseline CRP:** CRP obtained at least 24 hours before the time that the positive blood culture was obtained.
- **Change in CRP:** CRP obtained at the time of onset of sepsis.
- **(Baseline CRP- Change IN CRP)/ Baseline CRP:** Rise in CRP at the time of onset of sepsis as compared with a baseline CRP obtained at least 24 hours before the time that the positive blood culture was obtained.
- **CRP Peak:** Highest CRP obtained during a 20-day period starting from the time the initial positive blood culture was drawn.
- **Incidence of Raised CRP:** Number of episodes with a CRP more than 6mg/L obtained during a 20-day period starting from the time the initial positive blood culture was drawn.
- **Duration of High CRP:** Number of continuous days that the CRP remained more than 6mg/L. If the patient had no raised CRP, the duration was considered to be zero.

**Statistical Analysis:** All the data were collected in validated preformatted proforma sheet and analysed using software Statistical Package for Social Sciences. Categorical variables were analyzed using Chi-square analysis with Yates correction. Student’s t’ test was used to compare the means. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered significant. Analysis of variance was used to compare groups & data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation.
Results

Table 1: Distribution of Organisms Isolated In Culture Positive Sepsis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Organism Isolated</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Gram Negative</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>61.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria</td>
<td>Gram Positive</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungal</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungal</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Klebsiella</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acinetobacter</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Staphylococcus</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Canda</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enterococcus</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudomonas</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E.coli</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Burkholderia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elizabethae Kingella</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H.Parainfluenza</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Out of the 52 babies with culture positive sepsis; majority (61.53%) had gram negative sepsis. Klebsiella was the commonest organism and was isolated in 11 babies (21.15%). History of Maternal PIH was noted in 27.87% among babies with gram negative sepsis, 16.66% among fungal sepsis & 7.19% among gram negative sepsis.

The mean weight ± standard deviation among fungal sepsis was 1.55 ± 0.67; among Gram negative sepsis was 2.02 ± 0.87; among Gram positive sepsis was 2.63 ± 0.61 (Anova p= 0.014; significant).

Fungal sepsis was more common in babies with lower weight. The mean CRP Peak ± standard deviation among fungal sepsis was 1.55 ± 0.67; among Gram negative sepsis was 56.84 ± 32.03; among Gram positive sepsis was 30.21 ± 32.09 (Anova p= 0.034; significant). Fungal sepsis had higher CRP peak.

The mean incidence of high CRP ± standard deviation among fungal sepsis was 3 ± 0.89; among Gram negative sepsis was 3.03 ± 1.37; among Gram positive sepsis was 1.5 ± 1.45 (Anova p= 0.003; significant). Fungal & Gram negative sepsis had more incidence of raised CRP as compared to Gram positive sepsis.

The mean Duration of high CRP ± standard deviation among fungal sepsis was 7.83 ± 3.18; among Gram negative sepsis was 7.96 ± 3.83; among Gram positive sepsis was 3.92 ± 3.45 (Anova p= 0.004; significant). Fungal & Gram negative sepsis had more prolonged duration of raised CRP as compared to Gram positive sepsis.

The mean Duration of Thrombocytopenia ± standard deviation among fungal sepsis was 9.5 ± 1.04; among Gram negative sepsis was 5.4 ± 3.63; among Gram positive sepsis was 2.64 ± 1.31 (Anova p= 0.001; significant). Fungal sepsis had more prolonged Thrombocytopenia as compared to Gram positive & Gram negative sepsis.
Table 2: CRP and Platelet Variations among Fungal, Gram Negative & Gram Positive Sepsis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.N</th>
<th>FACTOR</th>
<th>LEVENE'S TEST P</th>
<th>ANOVA P</th>
<th>FUNGAL MEAN</th>
<th>FUNGAL SD</th>
<th>GRAM NEGATIVE MEAN</th>
<th>GRAM NEGATIVE SD</th>
<th>GRAM POSITIVE MEAN</th>
<th>GRAM POSITIVE SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AGE IN DAYS</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.46</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>7.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GESTATION IN WEEK</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>34.83</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>34.18</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>26.57</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>WEIGHT IN Kg</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BASELINE CRP</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>13.46</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>7.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CHANGE IN CRP</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25.49</td>
<td>46.34</td>
<td>32.15</td>
<td>30.21</td>
<td>32.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(BASELINE-CHANGE) / BASELINE CRP</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CRP PEAK</td>
<td>0.801</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>61.33</td>
<td>37.26</td>
<td>56.84</td>
<td>32.03</td>
<td>30.21</td>
<td>32.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>INCIDENCE HIGH CRP</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>DURATION HIGH CRP</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>BASELINE PLATELET COUNT</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CHANGE IN PLATELET</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>(BASELINE-CHANGE) / BASELINE PLATELET</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>1.677</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>PLATELET NADIR</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>INCIDENCE THROMBOCYTOPENIA</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>DURATION THROMBOCYTOPENIA</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>SEVERITY THROMBOCYTOPENIA</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis of variance was used to compare the groups, and data was expressed as mean ± standard deviation

Weight of the baby (p= 0.014), CRP Peak (p= 0.034), incidence of high CRP (p= 0.003), Duration of high CRP (p= 0.004) & Duration of Thrombocytopenia (p= 0.001) differed significantly among gram-positive, gram negative sepsis & fungal sepsis.

Figure 1: CRP and Platelet Variations among Fungal, Gram Negative & Gram Positive Sepsis
Discussion

In our study majority (61.53%) had gram negative sepsis. Kyoung Hee Choi et al.[14], Mehmet Yekta Oncel et al[15], Abdalla Alshorman et al[16] also noted predominantly gram negative sepsis in 84.47%, 54.3% & in 52.4% neonates respectively. However Guida et al. in his study observed that majority (76%) had gram positive sepsis, only 16% had gram negative sepsis & 8% had fungal sepsis [17,18]. In our study Klebsiella was the commonest organism and was isolated in 21.15% of babies. Mhada TVet al [19], Viswanathan R SA et al [20], Vallance Het al [21], Sankar MJ et al [22] & Qazi Iqbalab et al[23] also noted Klebsiella pneumoniae as the commonest organism. However Deepa et al[24] & Kayange N et al[25] in their study noted that Staphylococcus aureus was the commonest. Kuruvilla KA et al noted that E.coli was the most common causative bacteria [26]. This variation in the predominant organism causing neonatal sepsis can be attributed to the different study populations & study periods. Specific platelet responses to different infectious agents have not been extensively characterized [17].

CRP & Platelet variations among fungal, gram negative & gram positive sepsis:

We noted delayed onset of culture positive sepsis among fungal sepsis. In our study the Change in CRP was high in Gram negative sepsis (46.34 ± 32.15) as compared to fungal sepsis (23 ± 25.49) & Gram positive sepsis (30.21 ± 32.09). Kyoung Hee Choi et al in his study noted that the mean CRP among culture positive sepsis was 75.25 ±18.52 mg/L[14]. Chiesa C et al[27], Hofer Net al[28], Alexandraki I et al.[29], N.Laxmi et al.[30], M.Khassawaneh et al.[31] & Z.Ahamed et al.[32] also noted that the C-reactive protein was higher in gram negative bacteria than gram positive bacterial sepsis. Kyoung Hee Choi et al. in his study noted that the mean CRP among culture positive sepsis was 75.25 ±18.52 mg/L [14]. Kyoung Hee Choi et al.[14] & Blanco et al.[33] noted that the mean CRP of Gram negative sepsis did not differ significantly when compared to gram positive sepsis. On the contrary Nuutia et al. found higher CRP level in Gram positive sepsis than Gram negative sepsis [34].

In our study, the incidence of raised CRP was more among fungal sepsis (3 ± 0.89) & Gram negative sepsis (3.03 ± 1.37) as compared to Gram positive sepsis (1.5 ± 1.45). Similarly the duration of raised CRP was prolonged in fungal sepsis (7.83 ± 3.18) & Gram negative sepsis (7.96 ± 3.83) as compared to Gram positive sepsis (3.92 ± 3.45). We observed that the duration of high CRP was prolonged in Gram negative sepsis (7.96 ± 3.83) as compared to fungal sepsis (7.83 ± 3.18) & Gram positive sepsis (3.92 ± 3.45). We noted that the drop in platelet count was more in fungal sepsis (0.57 ± 0.11) as compared to Gram negative sepsis (1.25 ± 0.7) & Gram positive sepsis (1.51 ± 1.04). Deepa et
al.[24] & Kyoung Hee Choi et al.[14] in their study noted that the Platelet counts’ mean value in culture positive sepsis was $1.735 \times 10^5$ and $0.88 \times 10^5$ respectively. Abdalla Alshorman et al.[16], Guida et al.[17], Qazi Iqbal b et al.[23] & Charoo BA et al.[35] also noted that gram negative and fungal sepsis had a significantly lower platelet count compared to gram positive sepsis.

In our study the Platelet nadir was lower in fungal sepsis ($0.54 \pm 0.1$) as compared to Gram negative sepsis ($1.05 \pm 0.81$) & Gram positive sepsis ($1.49 \pm 1.02$). Kyoung Hee Choi et al.[14] also noted that the platelet count of Gram negative sepsis ($0.67 \pm 0.341$) was significantly less when compared to gram positive sepsis ($1.98$).

Kyoung Hee Choi et al.[14] & Rowe et al.[36] observed normal platelet count in gram positive sepsis. On the contrary Guida et al. found thrombocytopenia in Gram positive sepsis [18]. We noted higher incidence of Thrombocytopenia among fungal sepsis ($3.16 \pm 0.75$) when compared to Gram negative sepsis ($2.46 \pm 1.98$) & Gram positive sepsis ($1.28 \pm 1.48$). Abdalla Alshorman et al[16], Guida et al.[17], Qazi Iqbal b et al.[23], Charoo BA et al.[35], Modanlou HD et al.[37], Storm W et al.[38] & Escobar GJ. Et al.[39] also noted that gram negative and fungal sepsis had increased incidence of thrombocytopenia as compared to gram positive sepsis. However Manzoni et al. noted that there was no significant difference in the incidence of thrombocytopenia among fungal, gram negative & gram positive sepsis [40].

We observed that the duration of Thrombocytopenia was prolonged among fungal sepsis ($9.5 \pm 1.04$) as compared to Gram negative sepsis ($5.4 \pm 3.63$) & Gram positive sepsis ($2.64 \pm 1.31$). Abdalla Alshorman et al.[16], Guida et al.[17], Qazi Iqbal b et al.[23], Charoo BA et al.[35] noted that fungal and gram negative had more prolonged thrombocytopenia compared to gram positive sepsis. In our study the degree of thrombocytopenia was severe among fungal sepsis ($2.5 \pm 0.54$) when compared to Gram negative sepsis ($1.78 \pm 1.18$) & Gram positive sepsis ($1.21 \pm 1.31$).

Benjamin et al. also showed that fungal sepsis is associated with a greater degree of thrombocytopenia than is seen with staphylococcal sepsis [41]. However Rowe et al. found that 71% with Gram-negative sepsis had platelet counts < 100000/mm³, whereas all of the platelet counts in the non septic or Gram-positive sepsis patients were >150000/mm³[36].

Summary: We noted greater rise in CRP & prolonged duration of raised CRP following Gram negative sepsis. However the incidence of both raised CRP and thrombocytopenia were higher among fungal sepsis. Though the onset of thrombocytopenia was delayed, lower platelet nadir, more severe thrombocytopenia & prolonged duration of thrombocytopenia was noted among fungal sepsis.

Conclusion
In the present study, we have shown that there are quantitative differences in the platelet response and CRP to infection with the 3 major categories of organisms causing sepsis. The hematological parameters - Platelet Count and CRP constitute a simple, quick, cost effective and readily available tool for the prompt management of culture positive neonatal sepsis.
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