Melioidosis: Indian perspective
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Abstract

There has been an upsurge of melioidosis cases in India in recent past. Lack of awareness of this disease among the Indian clinicians and microbiologists is responsible for the wrong diagnosis as tuberculosis, scrub typhus, leptospirosis and brucellosis. Being one of the most potent emerging infections in India the clinicians and microbiologists should be suspicious of this organism in any suppurative lesions at multiple sites. Though B.pseudomallei has been most commonly reported in diabetic and immune compromised hosts, immunocompetency does not rule out its presence. All suspicious samples should be incubated for 5-7 days to isolate the organism. They should be treated for 10-14 days as Intensive therapy in the hospital with sensitive intravenous antibiotic followed by 3-6 months of oral therapy with Cotrimoxazole for complete eradication.
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Introduction

In the latter half of the 20th century, melioidosis emerged as an infectious disease of major public health importance in South East Asian Region. Maximum cases of melioidosis have been reported from Thailand where it is widely distributed in soil and in pooled surface water such as in rice paddies. B. pseudomalleus is considered to have potential in biological warfare, and is regarded as a potential bioterrorist weapon. It appears on the category B list of the critical agents published by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [6].

Melioidosis gained the lime light, when it was reported to be one of the most potent emerging infections in India in 1996[7]. Since the first case reported from Mumbai in 1991, about fifty cases of melioidosis have been reported predominantly from southern part of India. Though most of the cases have been reported from south India, but actually they originated from the eastern and western coastal belts. Maximum cases have been related to West Bengal where it seems to be endemic. B. pseudomallei, like many other soil bacteria, is a difficult organism to kill.

Epidemiology

In the latter half of the 20th century, melioidosis emerged as an infectious disease of major public health importance in South East Asian Region. Maximum cases of melioidosis have been reported from Thailand where it is widely distributed in soil and in pooled surface water such as in rice paddies. B. pseudomalleus is considered to have potential in biological warfare, and is regarded as a potential bioterrorist weapon. It appears on the category B list of the critical agents published by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [6].

Melioidosis gained the lime light, when it was reported to be one of the most potent emerging infections in India in 1996[7]. Since the first case reported from Mumbai in 19991, about fifty cases of melioidosis have been reported predominantly from southern part of India.

It can survive in a variety of hostile conditions like nutritional deficiency, acid and alkaline pH, disinfectants, antiseptic solutions, exposure to many antibiotics, and extremes of temperature [8]. There is a strong association
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between monsoon rain, occupational and recreational exposure to surface muddy water and melioidosis [8].

Other than rainfall, physical factors like humidity, UV radiation, and temperature and chemical factors like soil composition, other vegetation, and use of fertilizers may influence the distribution of *B. pseudomallei* in the soil.

The route of transmission is by inhalation of contaminated dust or droplets, direct contact with contaminated soil or water through penetrating wounds and existing skin abrasions, aspiration of contaminated water, or ingestion [8]. In a few cases, it is reported to be nosocomial, sexually transmitted, or laboratory acquired.

**Immunopathogenesis**

After internalization, it escapes from endocytic vacuoles and spreads from cell to cell for intracellular survival. It is resistant to complement, lysosomal defensins, and cationic peptides, and produces proteases, lipase, lecithinase, catalase, peroxidase, superoxide dismutase, hemolysins, a cytotoxic exolipid and at least one siderophore [9,10,11,12]. By virtue of all these above mentioned features *B. pseudomallei* survives inside several eukaryotic cell lines and is seen within phagocytic cells in pathological specimens [12,13,14].

The cell wall lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and the highly hydrated glycoalky polysaccharide capsule of *B. pseudomallei* plays an important determinant of virulence, which help to form slime, protect the organism from antibiotic penetration, and alter the phenotypic character of the organism, resulting in reduced susceptibility to antibiotics [15,16,17,18]. The high mortality of *B. pseudomallei* infections is related to an increased propensity to develop high bacteremias (>1 cfu/ml) [19].

Septicemic melioidosis is associated with very high concentrations of both pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines - tumor necrosis factor (TNF), interleukin-6, interleukin-10, interferon-gamma, and interleukin-18 [18,19,20,21].

The concentrations of interleukin-6 and interleukin-10 are independent predictors of mortality [21]. Antibodies against LPS components have been demonstrated to be protective. Antibody level was the highest for IgG (IgG1 and IgG2) [22]. Antibodies can persist variably over 3 years.

**Clinical feature**

A number of risk factors for developing melioidosis have been described; diabetes mellitus, thalassemia, renal disease, chronic lung disease, chronic alcoholism, occupational exposure to soil and surface water, elderly (≥45 years) males, and immunosuppression due to any cause [8]. Incubation period is usually 1-21 days, but can be as long as months and even years [25].

A number of risk factors for developing melioidosis have been described in various articles. Patients with diabetes mellitus have been reported to have a causal association with melioidosis.

Other than that, risk factors like thalassemia, renal disease, chronic lung disease, chronic alcoholism, occupational exposure to soil and surface water, elderly (≥45 years) males, and immunosuppressed state due to any cause may make the population susceptible [8]. Incubation period is usually 1-21 days, but can be as long as months and even years [25].

Clinical presentation of melioidosis varies widely. Clinical presentation of melioidosis varies widely. It ranges from asymptomatic infection, acute fulminant sepsis, sub acute multifocal abscesses to a chronic mild infection [26]. Chronicity (symptoms persisting more than 2 months) has an incidence of about 11% [25].

Maximum cases of chronic cases have been reported in Vietnam War experience described as "Vietnam time-bomb," which manifested later in life as tuberculosis like disease [6]. The most common presentation is community-acquired pneumonia. However, many patients presenting with prolonged fever, weight loss, and suggestive chest X-ray findings are misdiagnosed as tuberculosis and may get antitubercular therapy.

Other than that, there are patients with septic arthritis, multiple abscesses in liver, spleen, prostatic abscess (more in Australia), suppurative parotitis (more in Thailand), osteomyelitis, pyomyositis, cellulitis, fasciitis, skin abscesses or ulcers, and bacteremia with or without focus. On radiograph, Swiss-cheese appearance of the deep-seated abscess in liver, spleen, or in other organs is seen. Mortality rate in Indian setup varies from 7 to 20% [27, 28].

**Laboratory diagnosis**

The protean manifestations of melioidosis often lead to clinical under-diagnosis of this fatal disease. Confirmation of melioidosis relies heavily on clinical microbiology laboratories and specifically on bacterial isolation by culture methods.

Though Serological and molecular methods have been evaluated in clinical settings, culture is still considered the gold standard.
Culture of *B. pseudomallei*

Common specimens that yield *B. pseudomallei* on culture are blood, bone marrow, pus, fluid aspirates, tissue, throat swab, sputum, broncho-alveolar lavage (BAL) fluid, urine and ascitic fluid. Standard specimen collection and transport principles are sufficient.

Moreover, overgrowth of commensals from non-sterile sites (sputum), suboptimal selection of culture media, not using selective enrichment broth or agar, dismissal of the growth as contaminating non-fermenters from non-sterile sites, and discarding the culture plates by 3 days when the growth of *B. pseudomallei* may take 5-7 days in many occasions makes the diagnosis difficult.

Blood and bone marrow cultures can be done using conventional or automated blood culture system. Growth occurs within 5 days in conventional cultures and in 2 days in automated cultures. Hence, extended incubation is not required [29]. All plates are incubated at 35°-37°C for up to 5-7 days. Any culture suspected of *B. pseudomallei* should be handled in class 2 biological safety cabinets (BSC II).

Colonies are often small, smooth, and creamy in the first 1-2 days on sheep blood agar, which gradually change after a few days to dry and wrinkled colonies.

Distinctive musty or earthy odor is encountered while opening the Petri plates. Pink or colorless colonies are seen on MacConkey agar after 1-2 days with metallic sheen. After 3-4 days, the colonies may become dry and wrinkled.

Asdown’s media and modified Asdown’s media has been described as the selective media which contain trypptase soy agar with glycerol, crystal violet, neutral red, and Gentamicin (4 mg/l). Colonies of *B. pseudomallei* on Ashdown agar are pinpoint, clear, and pale pink at 24 h, changing to pinkish purple, flat, and slightly dry with sheen in the next 2 days.

After 5-7 days, the colonies get characteristic wrinkled appearance (rugose, or cornflower head) and they take up crystal violet from the medium [30].

Rapid detection methods

Although a variety of rapid antigen detection methods have been studied, none are commercially available yet. Among these, latex agglutination is evaluated for identification of culture or blood culture supernatants in Thailand and demonstrated sensitivity 95.1-100% and a specificity of 99.7-100% [31].

Similar results are shown in a study from Vellore using in-house co-agglutination test and latex agglutination test [32]. In Thailand, the results of rapid immunofluorescence (IF) test and those of an existing IF method were prospectively compared with the culture of various clinical specimens from patients with suspected melioidosis.

The sensitivities of both IF tests were 66% and the specificities were 99.5 and 99.4%, respectively [33].

Antibody detection

Indirect hemagglutination assay (IHA) remains the most widely used test despite its poor sensitivity and specificity in acute cases due to background seropositivity as a result of environmental exposure in endemic areas.

These facts have limited the diagnostic utility of IHA in acute melioidosis. Immunochromatographic tests have also demonstrated similar results and presented an enhanced performance over IHA [34].

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) used on affinity-purified antigen, crude antigen, LPS, and extracellular polysaccharide (EPS) have been validated in clinical context with varied ranges of sensitivity (63.9-82.4%) and specificity (71.1-82.1%) [35]. Overall, IgG detection and not IgM appears to be a more sensitive indicator of clinical disease [36].

Molecular diagnosis

Delay in obtaining the culture results and inability of the serological methods in achieving accurate diagnosis have led to the search for accurate and rapid tools such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

Primers targeting 23S rRNA, 16S rRNA, and 16S and 23S RNA junction and *TTS1* gene have been evaluated both on bacterial cultures and clinical specimen.

All PCR protocols showed accurate results in confirming the bacterial isolate after culture, while their sensitivity and specificities varied on testing the clinical specimen directly.

Low number of bacteria in blood specimen, presence of PCR inhibitors in blood, and probable latency of the bacterium within macrophages without an active disease are the possible explanations. Overall, molecular detection is still not superior to culture in case of *B. pseudomallei* at this point of time [37].
Treatment

In the medical wards, especially in the medical Intensive Care Units (ICUs), the usual practice of the physicians is to initiate treatment with beta-lactam - beta-lactamase inhibitors (BL-BLIs). These combinations are not recommended for the treatment of melioidosis, but may, to some extent, decrease the bacterial load. However, the patient may come back with relapse [30].

A similar scenario is encountered with surgical speciality, where the most common antibiotic used after drainage of any abscess is Amoxicillin-Clavulanic acid.

Amoxicillin-Clavulanic acid is one of those antibiotics which showed high resistance with melioidosis [30].

Even after the microbiological confirmation and recommendation of the proper treatment, it is found that the treating clinician does not follow the recommendation, as the patient showed clinical improvement with other antibiotics.

Melioidosis may have a protracted course and a chance of relapse if proper antibiotic treatment is not continued for an adequate period of time.

The bacteria are inherently resistant to many antibiotics that are commonly used against Gram-negative non-fermenters - like Penicillin, Ampicillin, first generation and second generation cephalosporins, Gentamicin, Tobramycin, Streptomycin, and Polymyxin.

Ertapenem, Tigecycline, Moxifloxacin, Tetracyclines, Chloramphenicol, the quinolones, and Ceftriaxone, do not appear to be clinically useful in the intensive phase [8].

The treatment of melioidosis is divided into two phases: Intensive phase, consisting of in-patient treatment for at least 10-14 days with Ceftazidime or Carbapenems (Imipenem or Meropenem) and Eradication phase, consisting of treatment with oral Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX) for 3-6 months [30].

Though the conventional intensive regimen consists of I/V Ceftazidime or Carbapenams with oral Doxycycline or Cotrimoxazole, a recent study proves no significant difference in single versus combination therapy [26].

Prevention

Melioidosis is a preventable disease. Tourists traveling to endemic areas should be properly cautioned against barefoot walking and recreational activities in water. People with risk factors should be guided to take proper precautions like protective footwear and mask during rainy season and while going to the paddy fields. Laboratory technicians and research workers should work in inside BSC II facility, and should not try to sniff the colonies from the culture plates.

There is no human vaccine available so far. However, there are researches in animal models involving the use of live attenuated, subunit, plasmid-based DNA, and killed whole-cell vaccine [30].

Road to future

Melioidosis in India is like tip of iceberg. It is known to be one of the most potent emerging infections in our country so at any time there may be an epidemic of melioidosis. Both the clinicians and the microbiologist have to be suspicious to diagnose the cases.

Regular interactions among them should be arranged at national level. There should be a central reporting system and a surveillance team.

At present the Department of Microbiology, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal is taking initiatives to bring all the interested researchers under one umbrella [30].
Moreover, it may play a role of a reference laboratory in future, where bacterial strains can be sent for confirmation after presumptive diagnosis is made in the respective institutions. So definitely we have a long way to go.
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